

AFCTN Test Report 93-035

AFCTB-ID 92-073



Computer Graphics Metafile Transfer



Using:





MIL-D-28003 (CGM)



Quick Short Test Report 26 October 1992



Prepared for

Electronic Systems Center

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A CUALITY INSPECTED S

Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited

Computer Graphics Metafile Transfer Using: Carberry Technology's Data

MIL-D-28003 (CGM)

Quick Short Test Report 26 October 1992

Prepared By

Air Force CALS Test Bed Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

AFCTB Contact

Gary Lammers (513) 427-2295

AFCTN Contact

Mel Lammers (513) 427-2295

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the Air Force. Neither the United States Government, the Air Force, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, nor represents that its use would not infringe on privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the Air Force. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the Air Force, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Rd.
Springfield, VA 22161

This report and those involved in its preparation do not endorse any product, process, or company stated herein. Use of these means by anyone does not imply certification by the Air Force CALS Test Network (AFCTN).

Contents

1.	Introduction1												. 1			
	1.1.	Backgro	und		• • • •		• • •	••		• • •		•				.1
	1.2.	Purpose								• • •						.2
2.	Test	Paramete	rs	• • • • • •	• • • •				• •	• • •		•			• •	. 3
3.	1840A	1840A Analysis														.5
	3.1.	Externa	l Packa	ging				• •	• •	• • •		•	• •			. 5
	3.2.	Transmi	ssion E	nvelop	e	• • • •			• •	• • •		•			• •	. 5
		3.2.1.	Tape Fo	ormats		• • . •	•••	••	• •	• • •		•		• •	• •.	. 5
•	3)	3.2.2.	Declara	ation	and :	Head	ler	Fi	elo	ds.		•	•			. 5
4.	IGES	Analysis	• • • • • • •		• • • •		• • •	• •	• •	• • •	• •	•				. 5
5.	SGML	Analysis						• •		• • •		• •				. 5
6.	Raste	r Analys	is	• • • • •	• • • •	• • • •		••	• •	• • •	• •					.6
7.	CGM A	nalysis.	• • • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • •	• • • •		• •	• •	• • •	• •	•	•			. 6
8.	Conclusions and Recommendations7															
9.	Appen	3	• •	• •	• • •		•				. 8					
	9.1. File 50states											. 8				
		9.1.1.	Parser	Log M	letaC	heck	t	• •	• • •			•		• •	• •	. 8
		9.1.2.	valid (cgmLog			•••	••	• •			•				.9
		9.1.3.	Output	Harva	rd G	raph	ics	3	• •			•		• •	٠.	11
		9.1.4.	Output	Ventu	ra P	ubl i	ghe	~								15

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

The Department of Defense (DoD) Air Force Continuous Acquisition and Life-Cycle Support (CALS) Test Network (AFCTN) is conducting tests of the military standard for the Automated Interchange of Technical Information, MIL-STD-1840A, and its companion suite of military specifications. The AFCTN is a DoD sponsored confederation of voluntary participants from industry and government managed by the Electronic Systems Center (ESC).

The primary objective of the AFCTN is to evaluate the effectiveness of the CALS standards for technical data interchange and to demonstrate the technical capabilities and operational suitability of those standards. Two general categories of tests are performed to evaluate the standards; formal and informal.

Formal tests are large and comprehensive, which follow a written test plan, require specific authorization from the DoD, and may take months to prepare, execute, and report.

Informal tests are quick and short, used by the AFCTN technical staff to broaden the testing base. They including representative samples of the many systems and applications used by AFCTN participants. They also allow the AFCTN staff to gain feedback from many industry and government interpretations of the standards, to increase the base of participation in the CALS initiative, and respond to the many requests for help that come from participants. Participants take part voluntarily, benefit by receiving an evaluation of their latest implementation (interpretation) of the standards, interact with the AFCTN technical staff, gain experience using the standards, and develop increased confidence in them. The results of informal tests are reported in Quick Short Test Reports (QSTRs) that briefly summarize the standard(s) tested, the hardware and software used, the nature of the test, and the results.

1.2 Purpose

The purpose of the informal test, reported in this QSTR, was to analyze Carberry Technology's interpretation and use of the CALS Standards in transferring Computer Graphics Metafile data. Carberry Technology used its CALS Technical Data Interchange System to produce data, in accordance with the standards, and delivered it to the AFCTN technical staff on a 3.5" disk. The purpose of the test was to evaluate the CGM files, not the CALS headers.

2. Test Parameters

Test Plan:

AFCTB 92-073

Date of

Evaluation:

26 October 1992

Evaluator:

George Elwood

Air Force CALS Test Bed

Det 2 HQ ESC/ENCP

4027 Colonel Glenn Hwy

Suite 200

Dayton, OH 45431-1672

Data

Originator:

Carberry Technology Byran DiAntonio 600 Suffolk Street Lowell, MA 01854

Data

Description:

Computer Graphics Metafile (CGM)

8 CGM files

Data

Source System:

CGM

HARDWARE

Unknown

SOFTWARE

Unknown

Evaluation Tools Used:

MIL-D-28003 (CGM)

SUN SparcStation 2

ArborText cgm2draw

Island Graphics IslandDraw 3.0

Sun 3/60

Advanced Technology Center

(ATC) CGM-View R2.0

Cheetah Gold 486

ATC MetaVIEW R 1.12
ATC MetaCHECK R 2.05
Software Publishing Corporation
(SPC) Harvard Graphics v3.0
Corel Ventura Publisher

Standards Tested:

MIL-D-28003

3. 1840A Analysis

3.1 External Packaging

The files for this test were delivered to the Air Force CALS Test Bed (AFCTB) using an electronic transfer.

3.2 Transmission Envelope

The files were not named per MIL-STD-1840A requirements.

3.2.1 Tape Formats

The files did not have the CALS headers as per the CALS MIL-STD-1840A requirements. However, the propose of the test was to evaluate the files and not the CALS headers.

3.2.2 Declaration and Header Fields

There was no Document Declaration File or CALS headers included with the electronic transfer.

4. IGES Analysis

No Initital Graphics Exchange Specification (IGES) files were included for this test.

5. SGML Analysis

No Standard Generalized Markup Language (SGML) files were included for this test.

6. Raster Analysis

No Raster files were included for this test.

7. CGM Analysis

The electronic transfer contained eight CGM files. The files were not named per the CALS MIL-STD-1840A requirements. All files were evaluated using ATC's MetaCheck with CALS options. The files did not report any CALS or basic CGM violations. The error logs for ATC's MetaCheck and the AFCTN validcgm are included in the Appendix to this report. The other logs are the same.

The files were displayed using ATC's CGMView. No problems were noted.

The files were imported into SPC's Harvard Graphics 3.0 without a reported problem. They displayed and printed correctly.

The files were imported directly into Corel's *Ventura Publisher*. No problems were reported during this process. When the files were displayed and printed, Shuttle, F15, and VGR all had notable lines. See the hard copies in the Appendix to this report.

The CGM files submitted to the AFCTB via electronic transfer meet the CALS MIL-D-28003 specification.

8. Conclusions and Recommendations

In summary the electronic transfer, from Carberry Technology, did not contain CALS MIL-STD-1840A files. They were not named correctly and did not have the required CALS headers or Document Declaration files. They were not evaluated for the CALS MIL-STD-1840A requirements.

The CGM files meet the CALS MIL-D-28003 specification.

The electronic transfer files, from Carberry Technology, meet the CALS Standards with the exception of the file naming and lack of Declaration file.

9. Appendix A - Detailed CGM Analysis

9.1 File 50states

9.1.1 Parser Log MetaCheck

```
MetaCheck Version 2.05 -- CGM/MIL-D-28003 Conformance Analyzer
Copyright 1988-91 CGM Technology Software
Execution Date: 10/26/92
                          Time: 15:43:31
Metafile Examined : \9273\50states.cgm
Pictures Examined
                  : All
Elements Examined
                   : All
Bytes
        Examined
                : All
Tracing not selected.
======= CGM Conformance Violation Report =========
No Errors Detected
======= CALS CGM Profile (MIL-D-28003) Report =========
No profile discrepancies detected.
========= Conformance Summary Report =============
MetaCheck Version 2.05 -- CGM/MIL-D-28003 Conformance Analyzer
Copyright 1988-91 CGM Technology Software
Execution Date: 10/26/92
                          Time: 15:43:38
Name of CGM under test: \9273\50states.cgm
Encoding
                   : Binary
Pictures Examined
                  : All
Elements Examined
                  : All
      Examined
                  : All
BEGIN METAFILE string : "CGM File"
METAFILE DESCRIPTION : "CGM File, MIL-D-28003/BASIC-1"
Picture 1 starts at octet offset 442; string contains: "hpgltocgm"
```

Conformance Summary : This file conforms to the CGM specification.

This file meets the CALS CGM Profile (MIL-D-28003).

Summary of Testing Performed and Errors Found:

1 Pictures Tested 770 Elements Tested 40254 Octets Tested

No Errors Were Detected |

======== End of Conformance Report ===========

9.1.2 validcgm Log


Analysis for file 50states.cgm using table table


- (0, 1) occurred 1 time
- (0, 2) occurred 1 time
- (0, 3) occurred 1 time
- (0, 4) occurred 1 time
- (0, 5) occurred 1 time
- (1, 1) occurred 1 time
- (1, 2) occurred 1 time
- (1, 3) occurred 1 time
- (1, 4) occurred 1 time
- (1, 5) occurred 1 time
- (1, 6) occurred 1 time
- (1, 7) occurred 1 time
- (1, 8) occurred 1 time
- (1, 9) occurred 1 time
 (1, 10) occurred 1 time
- (1, 11) occurred 1 time
- (2, 1) occurred 1 time
- (2, 2) occurred 1 time
- (2, 3) occurred 1 time
- (2, 4) occurred 1 time
- (2, 5) occurred 1 time
- (2, 6) occurred 1 time
- (2, 7) occurred 1 time
- (3, 1) occurred 1 time
- (5, 1) Occurred 1 time
- (3, 2) occurred 1 time
- (4, 1) occurred 679 times


- (5, 2) occurred 2 times
- (5, 3) occurred 11 times
- (5, 4) occurred 11 times
- (5, 11) occurred 1 time
- (5, 12) occurred 1 time
- (5, 14) occurred 11 times
- (5, 15) occurred 1 time
- (5, 22) occurred 1 time
- (5, 23) occurred 1 time
- (5, 27) occurred 2 times
- (5, 28) occurred 11 times
- (5, 29) occurred 11 times
- (5, 30) occurred 1 time
- (5, 34) occurred 1 time


9.1.3 Output Harvard Graphics




















9.1.4 Output Ventura Publisher





MERCHANDI SE TRADE BALANCE











4.4

